IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
v.)	CASE NO. 2:07-cr-168-MEF
)	(WO)
DESMONDANTONIO T. GROVE)	

ORDER

On December 17, 2007, the defendant filed an Unopposed Motion to Continue Trial (Doc. #16) as well as a Motion to Seal (Doc. #18) and Sealed Memorandum in Support of Motion to Seal (Doc. #19). While the granting of a continuance is left to the sound discretion of the trial judge, *United States v. Warren*, 772 F.2d 827, 837 (11th Cir. 1985), the court is, of course, limited by the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Speedy Trial Act provides generally that the trial of a defendant in a criminal case shall commence within 70 days of the latter of the filing date of the indictment or the date the defendant appeared before a judicial officer in such matter. 18 U.S.C. §3161(c)(1). *See United States v. Vasser*, 916 F.2d 624 (11th Cir. 1990).

The Act excludes from this 70 day period any continuance that the judge grants "on the basis of his findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

The motion states that there are some difficult issues that remain unresolved and need to be resolved before a decision regarding a final resolution of this case can be made.

Counsel for the government does not oppose the granting of a continuance. Consequently,

the court concludes that a continuance of this case is warranted and that the ends of justice served by continuing this case outweighs the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. *See United States v. Davenport*, 935 F.2d 1223, 1235 (11th Cir. 1991)(reasonable time necessary for effective preparation is a significant factor for granting a continuance under the Speedy Trial Act).

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED:

- That the defendant's motion to continue and motion to seal filed on December 17,
 are GRANTED;
- 2. That the trial of this case is continued from the January 14, 2008 trial term to the April 7, 2008 trial term.
- 3. That the Magistrate Judge conduct a pretrial conference prior to the April 7, 2008 trial term.

DONE this the 18th day of December, 2007.

/s/ Mark E. Fuller
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE